Smart Deworming in Livestock: The Future of Parasite Control

Pottabathula Mallesh*
Assistant Professor, Department of Veterinary Parasitology, College of Veterinary Science, Korutla, P. V Narsimha Rao Telangana Veterinary University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.
*email ID: malleshparas@gmail.com
doi.org/10.5281VeterinaryToday.21497220

Introduction

Parasitic infections remain one of the major constraints to efficient livestock production worldwide. Gastrointestinal nematodes, cestodes, trematodes, and protozoan parasites adversely affect the health and productivity of cattle, buffaloes, sheep, goats, horses, pigs, and poultry. These parasites reduce growth rates, impair feed conversion efficiency, decrease milk and meat production, compromise reproductive performance, and increase susceptibility to secondary infections, resulting in substantial economic losses (Taylor et al., 2016; Kaplan, 2020).

In tropical and subtropical countries such as India, climatic conditions characterized by high temperature, humidity, and seasonal rainfall create an ideal environment for the survival and transmission of parasites throughout the year (Sutherland and Leathwick, 2011). Among these, gastrointestinal nematodes such as *Haemonchus contortus*, *Ostertagia ostertagi*, *Trichostrongylus* spp., *Cooperia* spp., *Bunostomum* spp., and *Oesophagostomum* spp. are responsible for major production losses in ruminants.

For decades, parasite control depended largely on routine administration of broad-spectrum anthelmintics at fixed intervals. Although this approach initially produced remarkable improvements in animal health and productivity, excessive and indiscriminate use of deworming drugs has led to the widespread emergence of anthelmintic resistance (AR), which is now regarded as one of the greatest threats to sustainable livestock production worldwide (Kaplan and Vidyashankar, 2012).

The concept of Smart Deworming has emerged as a modern, science-based strategy that combines diagnostic testing, targeted treatment, integrated pasture management, nutritional support, and continuous monitoring to optimize parasite control while preserving the effectiveness of available anthelmintics (Kenyon et al., 2009). Rather than relying on calendar-based deworming, smart deworming emphasizes evidence-based decision-making to treat only those animals that genuinely require intervention.

Economic Importance of Parasitic Diseases

Parasitic infections impose enormous economic burdens on livestock production systems by affecting both animal health and farm profitability. The losses are often chronic and cumulative, making parasitic diseases "hidden profit killers."

The economic consequences include:

- Reduced body weight gain in growing animals.
- Decreased milk production in dairy cattle and buffaloes.
- Poor feed conversion efficiency.
- Delayed puberty and reduced fertility.
- Abortions and poor reproductive performance.
- Increased susceptibility to bacterial and viral diseases.
- Reduced wool and hide quality.
- Higher veterinary and medication costs.
- Mortality in severe infections.

Among gastrointestinal nematodes, *Haemonchus contortus* causes severe anaemia due to blood feeding, whereas *Ostertagia ostertagi* damages the abomasal mucosa, impairing digestion and nutrient absorption. Consequently, infected animals exhibit poor growth, emaciation, and decreased productivity (Taylor et al., 2016).

In India, gastrointestinal parasitism is particularly prevalent during the monsoon and post-monsoon seasons, when environmental conditions favour larval development and pasture contamination (Charlier et al., 2014). Smallholder farmers are disproportionately affected because routine deworming without diagnostic guidance often increases costs without providing sustainable parasite control.

Why Conventional Deworming is No Longer Sustainable

For many years, livestock owners followed routine deworming schedules every three or four months irrespective of parasite burden or season. Although convenient, this blanket treatment approach has created several serious challenges.

Firstly, repeated exposure of parasites to the same anthelmintic class exerts strong selection pressure, allowing resistant parasites to survive and reproduce. Over time, susceptible parasites are eliminated while resistant populations become dominant (Kaplan, 2020).

Secondly, many animals receiving treatment harbour very few parasites and derive little benefit from deworming. This unnecessary drug administration increases production costs and accelerates resistance development.

Thirdly, excessive use of anthelmintics may result in drug residues in meat and milk if withdrawal periods are not observed. In addition, repeated chemical exposure can adversely affect dung fauna and environmental biodiversity.

These concerns have shifted veterinary practice from routine deworming to evidence-based parasite management, where treatment decisions are guided by diagnostic findings rather than fixed schedules.

Anthelmintic Resistance: A Growing Global Challenge

Anthelmintic resistance is defined as the heritable ability of parasites to survive doses of anthelmintic drugs that would normally eliminate susceptible individuals (Coles et al., 2006).

Resistance has now been reported against all major classes of anthelmintics, including:

- Benzimidazoles (albendazole, fenbendazole)
- Imidazothiazoles (levamisole)
- Macrocyclic lactones (ivermectin, moxidectin)
- Salicylanilides (closantel)
- Amino-acetonitrile derivatives (monepantel)

Multidrug-resistant populations of *Haemonchus contortus* have become particularly widespread in sheep and goats across many countries (Kotze and Prichard, 2016).

Factors Promoting Resistance

Several management practices contribute to the development of resistance:

- Frequent blanket deworming.
- Underdosing due to inaccurate body weight estimation.
- Continuous use of a single drug class.
- Poor pasture management.
- Introduction of resistant parasites through purchased animals.
- Failure to monitor treatment efficacy.

The emergence of resistance reduces treatment success, increases production losses, and limits future therapeutic options.

The Concept of Smart Deworming

Smart deworming is a precision parasite management strategy that aims to maximize parasite control while minimizing unnecessary drug use and slowing the development of resistance.

Its key objectives are to:

- Treat only animals requiring intervention.
- Use diagnostics to determine treatment need.
- Select effective anthelmintics based on efficacy.

- Preserve susceptible parasite populations (refugia).
- Integrate grazing, nutrition, and biosecurity with chemotherapy.
- Monitor treatment outcomes through follow-up testing.

Targeted Selective Treatment (TST)

One of the most important principles of smart deworming is Targeted Selective Treatment (TST).

Research has demonstrated that approximately 20–30% of animals harbour nearly 80% of the parasite population, commonly referred to as the 80:20 rule (Barger, 1985). These heavily infected animals contribute disproportionately to pasture contamination and disease transmission.

Under TST, only animals showing evidence of significant parasitism receive treatment.

Animals Commonly Selected for Treatment

- Young calves, lambs, and kids.
- Animals with high faecal egg counts.
- Poor body condition score.
- Weight loss despite adequate nutrition.
- Diarrhoea.
- Anaemia.
- Bottle jaw.
- Reduced milk production.
- Poor growth rate.

Advantages of TST

- Reduced anthelmintic use.
- Lower treatment costs.
- Delayed development of resistance.
- Preservation of drug efficacy.
- Improved sustainability of parasite control.

Targeted selective treatment has become one of the most widely recommended parasite-control strategies in modern veterinary parasitology (Kenyon et al., 2009).

Diagnostic Tools Supporting Smart Deworming

Accurate diagnosis is the foundation of smart deworming. Instead of administering anthelmintics routinely, veterinarians rely on laboratory tests to determine parasite burden and evaluate treatment efficacy.

1. Faecal Egg Count (FEC)

Faecal egg count is the most widely used diagnostic tool for estimating gastrointestinal nematode infection. Techniques such as the McMaster method quantify the number of parasite eggs per gram (EPG) of faeces.

Applications include:

- Estimating parasite burden.
- Identifying heavily infected animals.
- Monitoring seasonal transmission.
- Selecting animals for treatment.

Although egg counts do not always correlate perfectly with worm burden, they provide valuable information for herd-level decision-making (Taylor et al., 2016).

2. Faecal Egg Count Reduction Test (FECRT)

The FECRT is considered the gold standard for evaluating anthelmintic efficacy and detecting resistance (Coles et al., 2006).

The procedure involves:

1. Measuring pre-treatment egg counts.
2. Administering the selected anthelmintic.
3. Repeating egg counts 10–14 days after treatment.
4. Calculating the percentage reduction in egg counts.

An egg count reduction of less than 95% generally indicates suspected resistance, although confidence intervals and parasite species should also be considered.

3. Larval Culture and Identification

Coproculture allows parasite eggs to hatch and develop into infective third-stage (L3) larvae, which can then be identified morphologically. This helps determine the predominant nematode species present on a farm and guides targeted control strategies.

4. Molecular Diagnostics

Recent advances in molecular biology have introduced sensitive tools such as:

- Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)
- Quantitative PCR (qPCR)
- Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP)
- DNA sequencing

These methods enable early detection of infections, identification of mixed parasite populations, and detection of genetic mutations associated with anthelmintic resistance (Kotze and Prichard, 2016).

Evidence-Based Deworming

Evidence-based deworming integrates clinical assessment, diagnostic testing, epidemiological knowledge, and farm-specific risk factors to guide parasite control decisions. Instead of following fixed treatment schedules, veterinarians evaluate parasite burden, production stage, grazing management, and previous treatment history before recommending deworming.

This approach not only improves treatment efficacy but also reduces unnecessary drug use, lowers production costs, and helps preserve the effectiveness of existing anthelmintics. Evidence-based deworming forms the cornerstone of sustainable parasite control and is increasingly recommended by veterinary parasitologists worldwide (Kaplan, 2020; Charlier et al., 2014).

Integrated Parasite Management (IPM): A Holistic Approach

With the increasing prevalence of anthelmintic resistance (AR), reliance on chemical dewormers alone is no longer sustainable. Integrated Parasite Management (IPM) has emerged as the cornerstone of smart deworming, combining chemical, biological, nutritional, genetic, and management strategies to achieve long-term parasite control while preserving the efficacy of available anthelmintics (Kaplan, 2020; Charlier et al., 2018).

The primary objectives of IPM are to:

- Reduce parasite transmission.
- Minimize dependence on anthelmintic drugs.
- Delay the development of resistance.
- Improve animal productivity and welfare.
- Promote environmentally sustainable livestock farming.

Unlike conventional deworming, IPM recognizes that parasites cannot be completely eradicated. Instead, the aim is to maintain parasite burdens below economically damaging levels while preserving a proportion of susceptible parasites in the population.

The Concept of Refugia

One of the most important concepts in modern parasite control is refugia, which refers to the proportion of a parasite population that is not exposed to anthelmintic treatment (Van Wyk, 2001).

Refugia include:

- Parasites in untreated animals.
- Free-living eggs and larvae on pasture.
- Parasites in wildlife reservoirs.

Maintaining refugia allows susceptible parasites to survive and breed with resistant individuals, thereby diluting resistance genes within the parasite population. Conversely, blanket deworming removes susceptible parasites, allowing resistant worms to dominate rapidly (Kenyon et al., 2009).

Importance of Refugia

Maintaining adequate refugia:

- Slows the evolution of anthelmintic resistance.
- Prolongs the efficacy of existing drugs.
- Reduces treatment frequency.
- Supports sustainable parasite management.

The concept of refugia has become a central recommendation in international guidelines for helminth control in livestock (Kaplan and Vidyashankar, 2012).

Grazing Management

Pasture serves as the primary source of infective gastrointestinal nematode larvae. Appropriate grazing management significantly reduces parasite exposure and reinfection.

Recommended Practices

Rotational grazing: Moving animals between paddocks interrupts parasite life cycles by reducing exposure to infective larvae.

Pasture resting: Allowing paddocks to remain ungrazed for sufficient periods decreases larval survival.

Mixed-species grazing: Grazing cattle with sheep or goats can reduce parasite contamination because many gastrointestinal nematodes are host-specific (Hoste et al., 2015).

Alternate grazing: Adult animals, which possess stronger immunity, can graze before young susceptible stock to reduce pasture contamination.

Avoiding overstocking: High stocking density increases pasture contamination and facilitates parasite transmission.

These grazing strategies form an essential component of evidence-based parasite control programmes.

Nutritional Management

Adequate nutrition enhances the host's resilience and resistance to parasitic infections. Animals receiving balanced diets often tolerate moderate parasite burdens with minimal production losses.

Particularly important nutrients include:

- High-quality protein
- Copper
- Zinc
- Selenium
- Vitamin A
- Vitamin E

Protein supplementation has been shown to improve immune responses against gastrointestinal nematodes by enhancing antibody production and mucosal immunity (Coop and Kyriazakis, 2001). Well-nourished animals generally exhibit lower faecal egg counts, better growth rates, and faster recovery following deworming.

Precision Livestock Farming (PLF)

The integration of digital technologies into livestock production has transformed parasite management. Precision Livestock Farming (PLF) uses automated monitoring systems to detect early indicators of disease and optimize farm management.

Wearable Sensors

Smart ear tags, neck collars, rumen boluses, and pedometers continuously monitor:

- Body temperature
- Activity levels
- Rumination
- Feed intake
- Drinking behaviour
- Walking patterns

Subtle behavioural changes associated with parasitic infections can be detected before clinical signs become evident, allowing timely veterinary intervention (Berckmans, 2017).

Automated Weighing Systems

Continuous monitoring of body weight enables early identification of reduced growth associated with parasitic infections. Sudden declines in weight gain may indicate increasing parasite burdens requiring diagnostic investigation.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Parasite Control

Artificial Intelligence is revolutionizing veterinary diagnostics by analysing large datasets generated from wearable sensors, farm records, weather information, and laboratory results.

AI-based systems can:

- Predict parasite outbreaks using climatic variables.
- Identify animals requiring faecal examination.
- Estimate parasite risk based on grazing history.
- Recommend optimal deworming schedules.
- Detect early production losses associated with parasitism.

Machine-learning algorithms have demonstrated considerable potential for predicting gastrointestinal nematode infections using milk yield, body condition score, weather patterns, and behavioural parameters (Berk et al., 2022).

Biological Control of Parasites

Biological control aims to reduce parasite populations using natural organisms rather than chemicals.

Nematophagous Fungi

The fungus *Duddingtonia flagrans* is the most extensively studied biological control agent against gastrointestinal nematodes.

When fungal spores are fed to livestock, they pass through the gastrointestinal tract and germinate in faeces, producing specialized trapping structures that capture and destroy developing nematode larvae before they migrate onto pasture (Waller, 2006).

Herbal Dewormers

Growing concern regarding drug resistance and chemical residues has stimulated interest in plant-derived anthelmintics.

Medicinal plants investigated for antiparasitic activity include:

- *Azadirachta indica* (Neem)
- *Carica papaya* (Papaya)
- *Allium sativum* (Garlic)
- *Punica granatum* (Pomegranate)
- *Artemisia annua*
- *Calotropis gigantea*
- *Ficus benghalensis*

These plants contain bioactive compounds such as tannins, alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins, and terpenoids that may impair parasite metabolism, reduce egg production, or interfere with larval development (Hoste et al., 2015).

Although many herbal products show promising laboratory results, further standardization and controlled clinical trials are needed before they can replace conventional anthelmintics.

Genetic Resistance to Parasites

Selective breeding offers a sustainable long-term approach to parasite control.

Certain indigenous breeds exhibit greater natural resistance to gastrointestinal nematodes than exotic breeds due to stronger immune responses and behavioural adaptations.

Breeding programmes incorporating:

- Faecal egg count.
- FAMACHA® scores.
- Growth performance.
- Genomic markers.

Conclusion

Smart deworming represents a paradigm shift from routine, calendar-based deworming to evidence-based, sustainable parasite management. By integrating diagnostic testing, targeted selective treatment, refugia preservation, grazing management, nutrition, biological control, molecular diagnostics, and precision livestock technologies, this approach effectively controls parasitic infections while slowing the emergence of anthelmintic resistance.

The incorporation of artificial intelligence, wearable sensors, CRISPR-based diagnostics, genomic selection, and biological alternatives is poised to redefine veterinary parasite control in the coming years. Adoption of these innovations by veterinarians and livestock producers will not only improve animal health and productivity but also preserve the efficacy of existing anthelmintics, ensuring sustainable livestock production in the face of evolving parasitic challenges.

References

Barger IA. The statistical distribution of trichostrongylid nematodes in grazing lambs. *Int J Parasitol.* 1985;15(6): 645–649.

Berckmans D. General introduction to precision livestock farming. *Anim Front*. 2017;7(1): 6–11.

Berk Z, Charlier J, Claerebout E, et al. Artificial intelligence applications in precision livestock farming for parasite control. *Front Vet Sci.* 2022;9.

Charlier J, van der Voort M, Kenyon F, Skuce P, Vercruysse J. Chasing helminths and their economic impact on farmed ruminants. *Trends Parasitol*. 2014;30(7): 361–367.

Charlier J, Morgan ER, Rinaldi L, et al. Practices to optimize gastrointestinal nematode control on livestock farms. *Vet Parasitol.* 2018;261: 11–20.

Coles GC, Jackson F, Pomroy WE, Prichard RK, von Samson-Himmelstjerna G, Silvestre A, et al. The detection of anthelmintic resistance in nematodes of veterinary importance. *Vet Parasitol.* 2006;136(3–4): 167–185.

Coop RL, Kyriazakis I. Influence of host nutrition on the development and consequences of nematode parasitism in ruminants. *Trends Parasitol.* 2001;17(7): 325–330.

Hoste H, Torres-Acosta JFJ, Sandoval-Castro CA, Mueller-Harvey I, Sotiraki S, Louvandini H, et al. Tannin-containing legumes as a model for nutraceuticals against digestive parasites in livestock. *Vet Parasitol.* 2015;212(1–2): 5–17.

Kaplan RM, Vidyashankar AN. An inconvenient truth: Global worming and anthelmintic resistance. *Vet Parasitol.* 2012;186(1–2): 70–78.

Kaplan RM. Biology, epidemiology, diagnosis, and management of anthelmintic resistance in livestock. *Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract.* 2020;36(1): 17–30.

Kenyon F, Greer AW, Coles GC, Cringoli G, Papadopoulos E, Cabaret J, et al. The role of targeted selective treatments in the development of refugia-based approaches to the control of gastrointestinal nematodes of small ruminants. *Vet Parasitol.* 2009;164(1): 3–11.

Kotze AC, Prichard RK. Anthelmintic resistance in *Haemonchus contortus*: History, mechanisms and diagnosis. In: Gasser RB, von Samson-Himmelstjerna G, editors. *Adv Parasitol.* 2016;93: 397–428.

Sutherland IA, Leathwick DM. Anthelmintic resistance in nematode parasites of cattle: A global issue? *Trends Parasitol.* 2011;27(4): 176–181.

Taylor MA, Coop RL, Wall RL. *Veterinary Parasitology***.** 4th ed. Chichester (UK): Wiley-Blackwell; 2016.

Van Wyk JA. Refugia—Overlooked as perhaps the most potent factor concerning the development of anthelmintic resistance. *Onderstepoort J Vet Res.* 2001;68(1): 55–67.

Waller PJ. Sustainable nematode parasite control strategies for ruminant livestock by grazing management and biological control. *Vet Parasitol***.** 2006;139(1–3): 1–17.